

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,611	10/635,611 08/07/2003		Yoshihito Asao	Q76555	9608
23373	7590	04/18/2006		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUI	,	PLLC JIA AVENUE, N.W.	PRESTON, ERIK D		
SUITE 800	SILVA	IMAVENOE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DO	20037	2834		
				DATE MAILED: 04/18/2004	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

:	•		•					
	:	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	· ·	10/635,611	ASAO ET AL.	(And)				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Erik D. Preston	2834	•				
Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence add	iress				
A SHC WHIC - Extens after S - If NO - Failure Any re earner	PRIENT STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DASIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become Al	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this col BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	: ·							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	<u>arch 2006</u> .						
′—	;	action is non-final.						
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition	on of Claims							
: 4)⊠ (Claim(s) <u>1,11 and 12</u> is/are pending in the appl	lication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) 🔲 (Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1,11 and 12</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) 🗌 (Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application	on Papers							
9)□ 1	he specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
	the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce		by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		•					
į	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
11) 🔲 T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO	O-152.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been	Application No	Stage				
* Se	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	•	received.					
•								
			:					
AMacha	2)							
Attachment	s) of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) The late and a sign of	Summary (PTO-413)					
	of References Cited (PTO-692) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔲 Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application (PTO	·152)				
Paper	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	'					

Application/Control Number: 10/635,611

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 3/13/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,11 & 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Adachi et al. (US 6317962).

With respect to claim 1, Adachi teaches a stator comprising: A cylindrical core (Fig. 1, #51) including a plurality of slots (Fig. 1, #51a) provided around an inner circumferential face of the cylindrical core and a plurality of concave portions (as seen in Fig. 1) provided around an outer circumferential face of the cylindrical core, and a welded portion (Fig. 1, #51b) in which abutting end faces of the cylindrical core are only partially welded together at the outer circumferential face of the cylindrical core so that the cylindrical core has a lower radial crushing strength at the welded portion than at

Application/Control Number: 10/635,611

Art Unit: 2834

other portions of the cylindrical core (which it inherently does), wherein the welded portion lies between two adjacent concave portions of the plurality of concave portions (as seen in Fig. 1); and a coil (Fig. 1, #52) disposed in the slots, but it does not explicitly teach the cylindrical core being made of iron. However, iron stator cores were extremely well known at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the stator core of Adachi from iron since iron was well known for its high magnetic permeability, and also because it has been held that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention would choose a suitable and desirable material, because it would be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice (In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960)).

With respect to claim 11, Adachi teaches a stator comprising: A cylindrical core (Fig. 1, #51) including a plurality of slots (Fig. 1, #51a) provided around an inner circumferential face of the cylindrical core and a plurality of concave portions (as seen in Fig. 1) provided around an outer circumferential face of the cylindrical core, and a welded portion (Fig. 1, #51b) in which abutting end faces of the cylindrical core are welded, and a notch portion (of the type as seen in Fig. 9, #60) provided in an inner wall surface of one of the slots; and a coil (Fig. 1, #52) disposed in the slots, wherein the welded portion lies between two adjacent concave portions of the plurality of concave portions (as seen in Fig. 1); and the notch portion comprises a linear slit extending only partially through the cylindrical iron core in a radial direction so that the cylindrical iron

Application/Control Number: 10/635,611 Page 4

Art Unit: 2834

core has a lower radial crushing strength at the notch portion than at other portions of the cylindrical core (which is inherently true), but it does not explicitly teach the cylindrical core being made of iron. However, iron stator cores were extremely well known at the time of the invention. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form the stator core of Adachi from iron since iron was well known for its high magnetic permeability, and also because it has been held that one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention would choose a suitable and desirable material, because it would be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice (In re Leshin, 227 F.2d 197, 125 USPQ 416 (CCPA 1960)).

With respect to claim 12, Adachi teaches the stator of claim 11, wherein the cylindrical iron core includes four notch portions provided in the inner wall surfaces located at intervals of 90 degrees around the inner circumferential face of the cylindrical iron core (there are slits in every slot of the stator of Adachi).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,11 & 12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 5457350 & US 6337530

Art Unit: 2834

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erik D. Preston whose telephone number is (571)272-8393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571)272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

03/28/2006

DARKEN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800